



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,607	02/02/2000	Sarit Neter	YMEDIA.001A	6486
28112	7590	12/29/2004	EXAMINER	
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES			MOE, AUNG SOE	
28 DAVIS AVENUE			ART UNIT	
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			PAPER NUMBER	
			2612	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/496,607	Applicant(s) NETER, SARIT	
	Examiner Aung S. Moe	Art Unit 2612	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 October 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 29, 31, 38 and 43-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 29, 31, 38, 43-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/8/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, 3, 38, 43, 44, 47 and 48, the Applicant alleged that combination of Hashimoto '085, Jacobs '456 and Lee '265 fails to show "said readout control circuit directly couples said pixel sensor elements to said color amplifiers" as amended in the present claimed invention.

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because the combination of Hashimoto '085, Jacobs '456 and Lee '265 does in fact show the present claimed invention. For example, Lee '265 clearly teaches in Figs. 2d and 2e that the control circuit (i.e., the column control unit) is well known to directly couples the pixel sensor (20) and the color amplifiers (i.e., noted the amplifiers 92 and 93 as shown in Figs. 2e and 5). In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Lee '265, since Lee '265 states at col. 1, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates thereof.

As for claims 29 and 31, the applicant alleged that the combination of Maenaka '023 and Jacobs '456 fails to show "readout control circuit directly couples said pixel sensor elements to a color amplifier".

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because Maenaka '023 clearly discloses such features. For instant, Maenaka '023 show the control circuit (i.e., the elements 7, 8 and 30 of Fig. 1) at the output element coupled to the sensor unit (2) and to a color amplifier (i.e., noted

Art Unit: 2612

the amplifier connected to the elements 7/8 as shown in Fig. 1). In view of this, Maenaka '023 clearly discloses the readout control circuit directly couples said pixel sensor elements to a color amplifier as claimed.

In view of the reasons discussed above, the present claimed invention is rejected as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3, 38, 43, 44, 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto (U.S. 4,768,085) in view of Jacobs (U.S. 6,580,456) and Lee at al. (U.S. 6,466,265).

Regarding claim 1, Hashimoto '085 discloses a color imaging system providing on-the-fly color interpolation using analog signals to reconstruct colors during sensor readout (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 55-68), the imaging system comprising:

an array of pixel sensor elements wherein at least part of the array is arranged in rows and columns (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 40+);

Art Unit: 2612

a color filter including a plurality of color filter components organized in a predefined pattern, the color filter overlaying at least a portion of the array (i.e., noted from Figs. 1 and 4 that the color filter components are organized in a predefined pattern);

a readout control circuit coupled to the array (i.e., noted the element 2a as shown in Fig. 2; col. 4, lines 5+);

an array controller coupled to the array (i.e., see Fig. 2; col. 4, lines 5+);

wherein the readout control circuit (2a) and the array controller (2a, 2a1 and 2a2) are configured to simultaneously read out values for a group of pixel elements from two different rows and two pixel elements from two different columns (i.e., Figs. 2, 7 and 12; col. 3, lines 54+, and col. 5, lines 60+) and

to reconstruct color components for at least a first pixel sensor element and a second pixel sensor element using color information (i.e., noted that the G signal is reconstructed from the pixels' signals such as G1 and G2 as shown in Figs. 2 and 3) from other pixels elements (i.e., noted the pixel elements nH/mH as shown in Fig. 1) within at least the first portion of the array while the readout control circuit is reading said first portion of the array (i.e., col. 4, lines 20+ and col. 5, lines 1+).

In addition, it is noted that although Hashimoto '085 shows the readout control circuit (Fig. 2, the elements 2a) generating the specific reading pattern while the readout control circuit is reading the portion of the sensor array (i.e., see Figs. 1 and 2), Hashimoto '085 does not explicitly stated the use of a pattern generator that is programmed by a digital command as amended in present claimed invention.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Jacobs '456. In particular, Jacobs '456 teaches that it is conventionally well known in the art at the time the invention was made to use the readout control circuit (Figs. 2, 7 and 8; the elements 204, 702 & 704) comprises a pattern generator (i.e., Fig. 8, the elements 808, 828 and 804) that is programmed by a digital command (i.e., see col. 7, lines 35+, col. 8, lines 40+, col. 9, lines 40+ and col. 11, lines 35+), thereby providing flexibility, and allows the clock signals to be modified easily and at a low cost (i.e., see col. 16, lines 10-15).

In view of the above, having the system of Hashimoto '085 and then given the well-established teaching of Jacobs '456, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Jacobs '456, since Jacobs '456 states at col. 16, lines 10+ that such a modification would provide flexibility, and allows the clock signals to be modified easily and at a low cost.

Furthermore, it is noted that although Hashimoto '085 shows the use of a plurality of color amplifiers (i.e., noted from Fig. 3 that each of the amplifiers 3, 4 and 5 are corresponding to one the colors of light), Hashimoto '085 does not explicitly state that the amplifier has a programmable gain as recited in the present claimed invention.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Lee '265. In particular, Lee '265 teaches in order to achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates, it is conventionally well-known in the art to use a plurality of color amplifiers (i.e., noted the amplifiers 92 and 93 as shown in Figs. 2e, 4 and 5) each corresponding to one of the colors (i.e., noted the R, G and B color signals read out from the pixels 1, 2, 3 and 4

Art Unit: 2612

as shown in Fig. 2b) of lights wherein each of the color amplifiers has a programmable gain (i.e., col. 4, lines 25+, col. 5, lines 25+; Figs. 4 and 5).

In view of the above, having the system of Hashimoto '085 and then given the well-established teaching of Lee '265, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Lee '265, since Lee '265 states at col. 1, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates thereof.

Moreover, Hashimoto'085 does not explicitly show the amended portions, however, such features are well known in the art as evidenced by Lee '265. For example, Lee '265 clearly teaches in Figs. 2d and 2e that the control circuit (i.e., the column control unit) is well known to directly couples the pixel sensor (20) and the color amplifiers (i.e., noted the amplifiers 92 and 93 as shown in Figs. 2e and 5). In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Lee '265, since Lee '265 states at col. 1, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates thereof.

Regarding claim 3, Hashimoto '085 discloses wherein the readout control circuit is adapted to perform color interpolation using two pixel sensor elements read out in parallel (i.e., col. 3, lines 60+ and col. 6, lines 14+).

Regarding claim 43, it is noted that Hashimoto '085 does not explicitly states the use of CMOS Sensor, however, Lee '265 teaches that it is conventionally well-known in the art at the time the invention was made to use CMOS sensors in order to realize either high frame rate or

Art Unit: 2612

high pixel count thereof (i.e., see col. 1, lines 25-55 of Lee '265). In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Lee '265, since Lee '265 states at col. 1, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates thereof. In addition, Jacobs '456 also teaches that it is well known in the art to use the CCD or CMOS sensor in the camera device (i.e., see col. 1, lines 23+ of Jacobs '456).

Regarding claim 44, although Hashimoto '085 shows the use of color filter components (i.e., col. 4, lines 5+ of Hashimoto '085), Hashimoto '085 does not explicitly state the use of a Bayer pattern color filter as recited in the present claimed invention.

However, Lee '265 teaches that it is conventionally well-known in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a Bayer pattern color filter as recited in the present claimed invention (i.e., col. 3, lines 20+ of Lee '265). In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Lee '265, since Lee '265 states at col. 1, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates thereof.

Regarding claim 38, Hashimoto '085 discloses a color imager (i.e., Figs. 3, 5, 8 and 10) comprising:

a first light sensor which generates a first analog output signal related to the amount of a first color of light sensed (i.e., noted the sensor of the sensor 2 of the imager generates the first analog output signal such that the green signal G1 as shown in Fig. 8 and 9; see col. 6, lines 10+);

Art Unit: 2612

a second light sensor which generates a second analog output signal related the amount of said first color of light sensed (i.e., noted the sensor of the sensor 2 of the imager generates the first analog output signal such that the green signal G3 as shown in Fig. 8 and 9; see col. 6, lines 10+);

a third light sensor (i.e., The Blue sensor of the sensor 2) which generates a third analog output signal related to the amount of a second color of light sensed (i.e., noted the sensor of the sensor 2 of the imager generates the third analog output signal such that the blue signal B2 as shown in Fig. 8 and 9; see col. 6, lines 10+);

a fourth light sensor (i.e., The Red sensor of the sensor 2) which generates a fourth analog output signal related to the amount of a third color of light sensed (i.e., noted the sensor of the sensor 2 of the imager generates a fourth analog output signal such that the red signal R as shown in Fig. 8 and 9; see col. 6, lines 10+);

a circuit configured to read out the first, second, third, and fourth analog values at the same time (i.e., col. 3, lines 55-68 and col. 5, lines 60+); and

an interpolation circuit configured to receive said first output signal and said second output signal (i.e., col. 3, lines 55+), wherein said interpolation circuit provides an interpolation signals on the fly based on at least said first analog output signal and said second analog output signal (col. 6, lines 5+).

In addition, it is noted that although Hashimoto '085 shows the readout control circuit (Fig. 2, the elements 2a) generating the specific reading pattern while the readout control circuit is reading the portion of the sensor array (i.e., see Figs. 1 and 2), Hashimoto '085 does not

Art Unit: 2612

explicitly stated the use of a pattern generator that is programmed by a digital command as amended in present claimed invention.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Jacobs '456. In particular, Jacobs '456 teaches that it is conventionally well known in the art at the time the invention was made to use the readout control circuit (Figs. 2, 7 and 8; the elements 204, 702 & 704) comprises a pattern generator (i.e., Fig. 8, the elements 808, 828 and 804) that is programmed by a digital command (i.e., see col. 7, lines 35+, col. 8, lines 40+, col. 9, lines 40+ and col. 11, lines 35+), thereby providing flexibility, and allows the clock signals to be modified easily and at a low cost (i.e., see col. 16, lines 10-15).

In view of the above, having the system of Hashimoto '085 and then given the well-established teaching of Jacobs '456, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Jacobs '456, since Jacobs '456 states at col. 16, lines 10+ that such a modification would provide flexibility, and allows the clock signals to be modified easily and at a low cost.

Furthermore, it is noted that although Hashimoto '085 shows the use of a plurality of color amplifiers (i.e., noted from Fig. 3 that each of the amplifiers 3, 4 and 5 are corresponding to one the colors of light), Hashimoto '085 does not explicitly state that the amplifier has a programmable gain as recited in the present claimed invention.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Lee '265. In particular, Lee '265 teaches in order to achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates, it is conventionally well-known in the art to use a plurality of color amplifiers (i.e., noted the amplifiers 92 and 93 as shown in Figs. 2e, 4 and 5) each corresponding

Art Unit: 2612

to one of the colors (i.e., noted the R, G and B color signals read out from the pixels 1, 2, 3 and 4 as shown in Fig. 2b) of lights wherein each of the color amplifiers has a programmable gain (i.e., col. 4, lines 25+, col. 5, lines 25+; Figs. 4 and 5).

In view of the above, having the system of Hashimoto '085 and then given the well-established teaching of Lee '265, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Lee '265, since Lee '265 states at col. 1, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates thereof.

Moreover, Hashimoto'085 does not explicitly show the amended portions, however, such features are well known in the art as evidenced by Lee '265. For example, Lee '265 clearly teaches in Figs. 2d and 2e that the control circuit (i.e., the column control unit) is well known to directly couples the pixel sensor (20) and the color amplifiers (i.e., noted the amplifiers 92 and 93 as shown in Figs. 2e and 5). In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Lee '265, since Lee '265 states at col. 1, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates thereof.

Regarding claim 47, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 43 as discussed above.

Regarding claim 48, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 44 as discussed above.

3. Claims 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto '085 in view of Jacobs '456 and Lee '265 as applied to claims discussed above, and further in view of Wilder et al. (U.S. 5,262,871).

Regarding claim 45, although the combination of Hashimoto '085, Jacobs '456 and Lee '265 teaches the use of a control circuit is programmed to selectively reading groups of pixel elements to create a color reconstruction (i.e., noted Figs. 1 and 2 of Hashimoto '085; and Figs. 2a-3b of Lee '265), the combination of Hashimoto '085, Jacobs '456 and Lee '265 does not explicitly state that the readout control circuit is programmed to selectively skip some of the groups of pixel elements to create a lower resolution.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Wilder '871. In particular, Wilder '871 teaches that it is conventionally well-known in the art at the time of the invention was made to program the readout control circuit (i.e., noted the readout control circuit as shown in Fig. 1 of Wilder '871) for the purpose of selectively skip some of the groups of pixel elements to create a lower resolution image (i.e., i.e., noted that the groups of pixel elements as shown in Figs. 2 may be selectively skipped during the specific resolution mode as discussed in col. 6, lines 50+; see col. 3, lines 20+ and col. 6, lines 2+ of Wilder '871).

In view of the above, having the combination of Hashimoto '085, Jacobs '456 and Lee '265 and then given the well-established teaching of Wilder '871, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Wilder '871, since Wilder '871 states at col. 2, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high speed data capture rate for high frame rates thereof.

Art Unit: 2612

4. Claims 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maenaka et al. (U.S. 5,555,023) in view of Jacobs '456 (U.S. 6,580,456).

Regarding claim 29, Maenaka '023 discloses a method of interpolating color components of an array of pixel sensor elements (col. 3, lines 40+ and col. 6, lines 45+), said method comprising:

reading a first rectangular portion of an array of pixel sensor elements simultaneously, wherein the first rectangular portion includes pixel sensor elements from at least two array columns and two array rows (i.e., Fig. 8; col. 1, lines 45-50, col. 2, lines 45+);

reading a second rectangular portion of the array of pixel sensor elements, wherein the second portion partly overlaps said first portion (i.e., Fig. 8; col. 1, lines 45+ and col. 2, lines 45+); and

reconstructing color components using interpolation for at least a third portion of the array while said third portion of the array is being read (i.e., Figs. 2 and 8-9; col. 2, lines 35+, col. 6, lines 35+ and col. 7, lines 20+), and wherein said interpolation comprises summing values of two or more said pixel sensor elements (i.e., see Fig. 2, the elements 269-270 and col. 6, lines 10-60).

In addition, it is noted that although Maenaka '023 shows the reading of the first and second rectangular portions (i.e., see Fig. 8) is controlled by the readout control circuit (Fig. 1, the elements 30 and 31) generating the specific reading pattern while the readout control circuit is reading the first and second portions of the sensor array (i.e., see Figs. 1, 4 and 8), Maenaka '023 does not explicitly stated the use of a pattern generator that is programmed by a digital command as amended in present claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2612

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Jacobs '456. In particular, Jacobs '456 teaches that it is conventionally well known in the art at the time the invention was made to use the readout control circuit (Figs. 2, 7 and 8; the elements 204, 702 & 704) comprises a pattern generator (i.e., Fig. 8, the elements 808, 828 and 804) that is programmed by a digital command (i.e., see col. 7, lines 35+, col. 8, lines 40+, col. 9, lines 40+ and col. 11, lines 35+), thereby providing flexibility, and allows the clock signals to be modified easily and at a low cost (i.e., see col. 16, lines 10-15).

In view of the above, having the system of Hashimoto '085 and then given the well-established teaching of Jacobs '456, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hashimoto '085 as taught by Jacobs '456, since Jacobs '456 states at col. 16, lines 10+ that such a modification would provide flexibility, and allows the clock signals to be modified easily and at a low cost.

Furthermore, it is noted that Maenaka '023 does not explicitly states the use of CMOS Sensors, however, Jacobs '456 teaches that it is conventionally well-known in the art at the time the invention was made to use CMOS Sensors in the camera to enhance flexibility thereof (i.e., see col. 1, lines 15-25 & col. 2, lines 10+ of Jacobs '456). In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Maenaka '023 as taught by Jacobs '456, since Lee '265 states at column 2, lines 10+ that such a modification would enhance flexibility.

As for the amended portion, Maenaka '023 show the control circuit (i.e., the elements 7, 8 and 30 of Fig. 1) at the output element coupled to the sensor unit (2) and to a color amplifier (i.e., noted the amplifier connected to the elements 7/8 as shown in Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 31, Maenaka '023 discloses wherein reconstructing color components (i.e., the R, G and B signal as shown in Fig. 8) using interpolation is performed in real-time (i.e., noted the color components are interpolated as read out from the CCD sensor in real-time as claimed).

5. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maenaka '023 in view of Jacobs '456 as applied to claims discussed above, and further in view of Lee et al. (U.S. 6,466,265).

Regarding claim 46, although Maenaka '023 shows the use of color filter components (i.e., Fig. 8 of Maenaka '023), Maenaka '023 does not explicitly state the use of a Bayer pattern color filter as recited in the present claimed invention.

However, Lee '265 teaches that it is conventionally well-known in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a Bayer pattern color filter as recited in the present claimed invention (i.e., col. 3, lines 20+ of Lee '265).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Maenaka '023 as taught by Lee '265, since Lee '265 states at col. 1, lines 50+ that such a modification would achieve high pixels output rate for high frame rates thereof.


Art Unit: 2612

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung S. Moe whose telephone number is 703-306-3021. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9-5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 703-305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Aung S. Moe
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2612

A. Moe
December 27, 2004